CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2013 Second Round September 25, 2013

Project Number CA-13-162

Project Name Long Beach & 21st Apartments

Site Address: 2114 Long Beach Boulevard

Long Beach, CA 90806 County: Los Angeles

Census Tract: 5732.020

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$1,192,699 \$0 Recommended: \$1,192,699 \$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: Long Beach & 21st, LP

Contact: Chris Maffris

Address: 1640 S. Sepulveda Blvd, Suite 425

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Phone: 310-575-3543 Fax: 310-575-3563

Email: cmaffris@metahousing.com

General Partner(s) or Principal Owner(s): PATH Ventures

Long Beach & 21st, LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture
Parent Company(ies): PATH Ventures

Meta Housing Corporation

Developer: Meta Housing Corporation
Investor/Consultant: Hamilton Investments, LLC
Management Agent(s): Western Seniors Housing, Inc.

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 1
Total # of Units: 41

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 40 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HUD Section 8 Project-based Vouchers (15 Units / 37%)

Utility Allowance: CUAC

Average Targeted Affordability of Special Needs/SRO Project Units: 34.63%

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 16 40 % 35% AMI: 6 15 % 50% AMI: 4 10 %

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Special Needs (51%) and Seniors (49%)
Type of Special Needs: Homeless and Persons with Mental Illness

% of Special Need Units: 21 units 51%

Geographic Area: Balance of Los Angeles County

TCAC Project Analyst: Jack Waegell

Unit Mix

33 1-Bedroom Units 8 2-Bedroom Units

41 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2013 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2013 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
21 1 Bedroom	15%	15%	\$233
4 1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$776
8 1 Bedroom	60%	58%	\$900
1 2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$559
6 2 Bedrooms	60%	58%	\$1,081
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Financing Residential

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$15,081,004 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$231 Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$15,081,004 Per Unit Cost: \$367,829

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Wells Fargo Bank Construction Loan	\$9,575,000	CCRC	\$566,595
CalHFA MHSA Housing Program	\$1,572,450	CalHFA MHSA	\$1,572,450
CalHFA MOESR	\$325,000	CalHFA MOESR	\$325,000
AHP	\$400,000	AHP	\$400,000
Deferred Costs	\$775,448	Deferred Developer Fee	\$51,432
Tax Credit Equity	\$2,433,106	Tax Credit Equity	\$12,165,527
		TOTAL	\$15,081,004

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$10,730,533
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis Credit Reduction (5%)	\$697,485
Qualified Basis:	\$13,252,208
Applicable Rate:	9.00%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,192,699
Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Elig	gible Basis): \$1,200,000
Investor/Consultant:	Hamilton Investments, LLC
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$1.02000

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$10,730,533 Actual Eligible Basis: \$10,942,048 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$7,693,670 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$10,980,996

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages
Parking Beneath Residential Units
Local Development Impact Fees
95% of Upper Floor Units are Elevator-Serviced

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Special Needs Second: 27.521%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.00%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information: The project's 21 MHSA special needs units for seniors will be subsidized with an MHSA Operating Expense Subsidy Reserve (MOESR) for 6 units and a HUD Section 8 project-based voucher contract for 15 units. The MOESR subsidy is to be used beginning in year 11 subject to the expiration of the 10-year Section 8 contract. If the Section 8 subsidy continues beyond year 10, or another subsidy is obtained, the MOESR subsidy may be reduced. The other 19 affordable units will be available for non-special needs seniors.

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Long Beach, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$1,192,699 State Tax Credits/Total \$0

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None.

Doints System	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
Points System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Credit Reduction	20	5	5
Public Funds	20	15	15
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within ¼ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ¼ mile of public library	3	3	3
Within 1.5 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	3	3	3
Within ¼ mile of a neighborhood market of at least 5,000 sf	4	4	0
Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital	2	2	2
Service Amenities	10	10	10
SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING TYPE			
Case Manager, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 100 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 84 hrs/yr instruction	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: LEED	5	5	5
Develop project to requirements of: LEED Silver	3	3	3
Energy efficiency beyond CA Building Code Title 24 requirements: 17.5%	3	3	3
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
Qualified Census Tract	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.